

What is in One: Uncertainty Quantum and Continuum Hypothesis

Zhen Wang*

Physics Department, LiaoNing Normal University,
DaLian, PostCode 116029, P. R. China

February 1, 2008

Abstract

The concept of measurement is discussed. It is argued that counting process in mathematics is also measurement which requires a basic unit. The idea of scale is put forward. The basic unit itself, which are composed of the infinitesimal of uncertainty quantum, can be regarded as infinite in another scale. Thus infinite, infinitesimal and integer "1" are unified. It is proposed that multiplication changes to summation when it is transformed to a larger scale. The Continuum Hypothesis is proved to be correct after a scale transformation.

In the history of physics and mathematics, it is often seen that progress in one area depends on the progress in the other area, and difficulty in this area connects subtly with the difficulty in that area. From the perspective of physics, infinite in physics is a source of uncertainty and instability which has been haunting for a long time. Though many mathematical techniques have been developed in theory to deal with it, no further understanding of the concept has been got since it was first introduced. This is mainly because there has not been a breakthrough in the understanding of measurement in physics. Though this may sound a little bit strange, it can be seen when we think about the mathematical genesis of infinite. When Cantor introduced the family of infinite, he got it by continuous counting of integers. Obviously the counting is a measuring process with "1" to be the basic unit. Thus if we hope to get deeper understanding about the concept of infinite, we must have an inspection to the concept of measurement in physics.

As is well known, the concept of measurement in quantum physics has been one of the most controversial point in modern physics[1]. We believe that progress for this problem relies on an overall and integrated understanding of

*The author is thankful for Prof. Lin Xie for beneficial discussion.

the concept of measurement in both quantum physics and classical physics. One common feature of measurements in the two realms is: there is always a smallest unit for any measurement. This is most significant through out all our research[2,3]. Logically, no quantity can be made up of zeros. Thus for a quantity to be meaningful, such smallest unit must exist. We give it the name uncertainty quantum, denoted with q . Now we shall see how these uncertainty quanta make up a quantity.

Obviously, the uncertainty quantum can not be identified, though it can be enumerated. We *can not* know its size, inner structure and other information. If we could, it would not be the smallest unit, and then by definition, it would not be the uncertainty quantum. This does not mean q has no inner structure. Rather, it is too small at **present scale**. If we admit **real infinite** in the following sense, q can be connected with ω , which represent enumerable infinite in mathematics. We know the ω is derived in counting process:

$$1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \dots, 2\omega, \dots, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^\omega, \dots \quad (1)$$

We understand the **real infinite** in such a sense that the infinite becomes the new unit, thus makes us come to another scale. Since logic requires the uncertainty quantum must exist, the infinite, which represent a quantity in another scale, must exist for the same reason. No infinite in reality is not relative. But just as the uncertainty quantum can not be identified, it can also be understood that real infinite can not be identified in present scale, and in a new scale it may be just a new quantity. In this sense, any quantity may be expressed as

$$V = \omega q \quad (2)$$

where V may be any quantity. An infinite quantity arises when the uncertainty quantum is taken to be the **present unit** "1", i.e., the present unit "1" becomes infinitesimal so that there is no difference between 1 and n ($n \prec \omega$) . If we adopt suitable unit, (2) can be expressed as:

$$q = \frac{1}{\omega} \quad (3)$$

(3) tells us how the uncertainty quantum connects with the enumerable infinite, and together they make up a quantity. In such a sense, quantities lose their absolute meaning, and present scale is no longer special. The occurrence of infinite and infinitesimal just mean a scale-shift. Therefore, the uncertainty quantum itself must also be regarded as a quantity composed of uncertainty quantum in a smaller scale. Thus we can add a symmetric part to (1):

$$\dots, \frac{1}{\omega^\omega}, \dots, \frac{1}{\omega^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2\omega}, \dots, \frac{1}{\omega}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, 1 \quad (4)$$

So when infinite is involved, the basic unit "1" in the ordinal series (1) can be regarded as the uncertainty quantum in this case. From the perspective of

mathematics, all terms after ω in (1) have the same weight, the cardinal $\aleph_0$ [4], and the unit " 1 " now has the following property, which is the essential feature of uncertainty quantum:

$$\aleph_0 + 1 = \aleph_0 \quad (5)$$

where $\aleph_0$ is cardinal number of ω . In the same way in the series (4), all terms have the same uncertainty quantum q . It is well known that in the enumerating process, no new cardinals are generated. If we define a new cardinal $\aleph_{-1}$ which corresponds to the uncertainty quantum $q = \frac{1}{\omega}$, we can clearly figure out the scope of present scale: from $\aleph_{-1}$ to $\aleph_0$. Though we can describe a quantity like $\sqrt{2}$, we never use one with infinite decimal numbers in measurement or calculation. Any quantity in reality must be within present scale if it is to be clearly figured out.

Therefore any quantity outside present scale must be unenumerable, like the cardinals of Cantor[5] which are bigger than $\aleph_0$. When one deals with structure beyond infinite or within uncertainty quantum, new cardinals are introduced. If we add the symmetric part of cardinals in the other side, we can write out the series:

$$\dots, \aleph_{-\alpha}, \dots, \aleph_{-2}, \aleph_{-1}, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, \aleph_0, \aleph_1, \dots, \aleph_\alpha, \dots \quad (6)$$

in which each cardinal is the uncertainty quantum for the next bigger cardinal.

We know these infinite cardinals have many miraculous properties, which provide clues for the rules of scale-shift. Here we put forward a proposition: *Summation would change to multiplication when it gets into next bigger scale from present scale.* In fact, it is easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 n_2 \aleph_0 &= n_1 + n_2 + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0, \quad n_1, n_2 \leq \aleph_0 \\ \aleph_\alpha + \aleph_\beta &= \aleph_\alpha \cdot \aleph_\beta = \max(\aleph_\alpha, \aleph_\beta) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

That means the infinite cardinal $\aleph_0$ has become a new basic unit for variables in the new scale, while the old basic unit " 1 " has become the uncertainty quantum.

This proposition sheds new light to the famous question Continuum Hypothesis [5], which presumed that the weight of the real set $2^{\aleph_0}$ is the first unenumerable infinite cardinal $\aleph_1$, i.e.,

$$2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1 \quad (8)$$

This has to be transformed into present scale if it is to be understood. According to the proposition, the right side of (8) will change to $\aleph_0$ when it is transformed from next scale to present scale, the left side will change to

$$2^{\aleph_0} = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \dots \rightarrow 2 + 2 + 2 + \dots = \aleph_0 \quad (9)$$

Therefore if we admit that arithmetical rules are not special for present scale and can be extended to neighboring scale, the Continuum Hypothesis is correct. Such proof may not be strict enough from the perspective of mathematics, but physics picture helps us get new insight into the problem. Actually, many mathematicians have already concluded[5] that the difficulty of Continuum Hypothesis may not be mathematical in essence. What has hindered mathematicians from the time of Cantor may be that the basic unit " 1 " also has inner structure, and it is infinite itself from another scale. Thus this problem connects profoundly with the concept of measurement in philosophy as well as in physics. In a general theoretical framework[2] this inner structure within the uncertainty quantum is shown to be connected with the structure beyond infinite. We know on the real axis the number of points within $(0, 1)$ is equal to that of all points on the axis. This has been taken to be a characteristic feature of a infinite set. In our theory[2] this miraculous feature comes from breaking down of a symmetry in counting or measurement, which gets realized in the unfurling of the uncertainty quantum in time or space.

REFERENCES:

- [1] M. Jammer, *The Philosophy of Quantum Mechanics* (John Wiley, New York 1974)
- [2] Zhen Wang, quant-ph/9806071
- [3] Zhen Wang, quant-ph/9804070
- [4] J. W. Zhang and X. S. Wang, *Continuum Hypothesis* (LiaoNing Education Press, 1988)
- [5] P. J. Cohen, *Set Theory and Continuum Hypothesis* (W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York 1966)